A curious method for data from curious experiments:

# Random projection with TOF-SIMS data from comet-relevant samples

## Kurt Varmuza

Vienna University of Technology, Institute of Chemical Engineering, Laboratory for ChemoMetrics

kvarmuza@email.tuwien.ac.at

www.lcm.tuwien.ac.at



Chemometrics Workshop 2010, TU Vienna, 28.6.2010

#### **Special Issue Article**



Received: 15 December 2009,

Revised: 13 January 2010,

Accepted: 14 January 2010,

Published online in Wiley InterScience: 2010

(www.interscience.wiley.com) DOI: 10.1002/cem.1295

## Random projection experiments with chemometric data

#### Kurt Varmuza<sup>a</sup>\*, Peter Filzmoser<sup>b</sup> and Bettina Liebmann<sup>a</sup>

Random projection (RP) is a linear method for the projection of high-dimensional data onto a lower dimensional space. RP uses projection vectors (loading vectors) that consist of random numbers taken from a symmetric distribution with zero mean; many successful applications have been reported for high-dimensional data sets. The basic ideas of RP are presented, and tested with artificial data, data from chemoinformatics and from chemometrics. RP's potential in dimensionality reduction is investigated by a subsequent cluster analysis, classification or calibration, and is compared to PCA as a reference method. RP allowed drastic reduction in data size and computing time, while preserving the performance quality. Successful applications are shown in structure similarity searches (53 478 chemical structures characterized by 1233 binary substructure descriptors) and in classification of mutagenicity (6506 chemical structures characterized by 1455 molecular descriptors). Only in calibration tasks with low-dimensional data as in many chemical applications, RP showed limited performance. For special applications in chemometrics with very large data sets and/or severe restrictions for hardware and software resources, RP is a promising method. Copyright © 2010 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

Keywords: dimensionality reduction; PCA; similarity of chemical structures; KNN classification; PLS regression

#### Journal of Chemometrics **24** (2010) 209-217

#### **Dimensionality Reduction by Projection**



projection

## **Curious method:** Random Projection (RP)



## **Random Projection (RP): Example 1**

*n* = 53,478 chemical structures (objects)
*m* = 1233 binary substructure descriptors (binary variables)

#### Similarity of objects (chemical structures) calculated from

- Tanimoto index from 1233 binary variables
- Euclidean distance from a = 30 RP scores

#### Summary: Both yielded very similar hitlists (nearest neighbors)

## **Random Projection (RP): Example 2 (QSAR)**

- **X** n = 6506 chemical structures (objects)  $n_1 = 3502$  mutagenic,  $n_2 = 3004$  not mutagenic (AMES test)
  - *m* = 1455 molecular Dragon descriptors (variables)

#### KNN classification (Euclidean distance) of mutagenicity from

- $\blacksquare \qquad m = all \ 1455 \ variables$ 
  - a = 100 PCA scores (from all data)
  - a = 100 PCA scores (from 4% of the objects)
    - a = 100 RP scores

#### **Summary: Similar classification performance (ca 75% correct)**

Varmuza K., Filzmoser P., Liebmann B.: J. Chemom. 24 (2010) 209-217

## **Curious experiment:** TOF-SIMS Data from Comet

## 2 March 2004 Launch of ROSETTA (ESA)

2014 Orbit around the comet (experimental data expected)

2010 Instruments (still) working



**TOF-SIMS** instrument Time-of-Flight Secondary Ion Mass Spectrometer

#### **COSIMA**

#### **Cometary Secondary Ion Mass Spectrometer**

measurements of cometary dust particles (orbit)



Kissel J., et al. (41 authors): *Space Science Reviews* **128**, 823-867 (2007) COSIMA – High resolution time-of-flight secondary ion mass spectrometer for the analysis of cometary dust particles onboard ROSETTA.

## **Curious experiment:** TOF-SIMS Data from Space

## Restrictions

- very limited data storage (onboard) only one or a few full spectra
- very limited data transfer
  - about 1 2 times a week, signal needs ca 20 minutes per way
- very unexpected data possible no PCA or calibration in advance

#### **Curious experiment:** TOF-SIMS Data from Lab

Max-Planck Institute for Solar System Research (Katlenburg-Lindau, Germany): Hilchenbach Martin (P.I.) Kissel Jochen (former P.I.) Krüger Harald

Finnish Meteorological Institute (FMI, Helsinki): Silen Johan

2005

## **Curious experiment:** TOF-SIMS Data from Lab

A typical **TOF-SIMS spectrum** (after some data reduction, m/z < 114,<sup>115</sup>In): m = 8118 variables = no. of detected ions in 4 ns time intervals ("bins")

**Experiment** Ag target with a grain of pyroxene (AI, Mg, ... silicate), diameter ca 500  $\mu$ m

n = 49 spectra measured at the positions of a 7 x 7 grid



#### **TOF-SIMS** Data from Lab: Random Projection



Mg, PCA, RP-PCA-scores

## **TOF-SIMS** Data from Lab: **RP / Spectra Similarity** 8118 5 dimensionality reduction X by **RP** 49 49 Dissimilarity of spectra ~ ~ Euclidean distance of *u*-vectors (5-dimensional) **Evaluate spectra sequentially** Subset with characteristic spectra

### **TOF-SIMS** Data from Lab: **RP / Spectra Selection**

size and color of circles is proportional to the number of Mg<sup>+</sup> ions



selecti on

## Stardust (NASA)

#### **Comet Wild-2**

Ca 4.5 km diameter, from 240 km.

#### **Collection of cometary dust (2002)**:

aerogel, fly-by at 240 km distance, 6.1 km/s relative speed (= 5-10 times a gun bullet). Return: January 2006